

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,947	12/29/2003	Daniel L. Cox	ACS 66148 (1675XDC)	7894	
24201 FULWIDER P.	7590 11/09/200 ATTON LLP	7	EXAMINER		
	GHES CENTER	O.D.	PELLEGRINO, BRIAN E		
6060 CENTER DRIVE, TENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90045		OR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3738 ·		
	·			,	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		71		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	Advisory Action	10/748,947	COX ET AL.	
Bet	fore the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · ,
		Brian E. Pellegrino	3738	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ress
THE REP	LY FILED 29 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
this plac a Re	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the followes the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notequest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, whi	ichever is later In
<i>'</i> —	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
have been under 37 C set forth in may reduce	of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex FR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) DF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
filing a No	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp of the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDM	<u>IEIN I S</u> e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	ecance
(a) [(b) [They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);	
(d) [They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	
4. 🔲 The	amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
	plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	·		
	wly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>17-20,22,24-27 ar</u> endment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	<u>nd 30-33</u> would be allowable if sub	mitted in a separate, ti	mely filed
7. 🛭 For how The	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is prostatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: m(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Clai	m(s) objected to: <u>34-40</u> . m(s) rejected:			
	m(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
beca	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be ause applicant failed to provide a showing of good are not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
ente sho	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).
	e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the consideration of the consider	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
	e request for reconsideration has been considered be ee Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:
	te the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). her:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>10/29/0</u>	27	
.5. 🗀 🕬	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 34-40 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 17-20,25,31,32. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). In line 4 of claim 34, there is a positive recitation of a "guide wire" which implies this element is present. Thus the claims are of the same scope.

BRIANE. PELLEGRINO PRIMARY EXAMINER